about s,	
on conflict. Their views are	
People who don't follow a religion, such as humanists and atheists, have their own viewpoints on conflict. Their views are similar to Christians; they don't agree with it. However, their reasons for these views are different from Christians because they don't believe in God. Humanists and atheists would agree that life is valuable, to be lived to the fullest. But this is not because they believe God	
ie only life someone has is the life. woided; war should only be	
r in protecting others, for much of the world's conflict on	
y should take someone's life. it, not destroying it.	
 Christians place great emphasis on the importance of peace. They follow the teachings and example of Jesus, who they view as a peacemaker. "Turn the other cheek". However, many Christians will accept conflict as a last resort, providing all other peaceful methods have been explored, such as negotiating, discussions, and sanctions. They do not accept war or violence that is caused by religious differences, or by those trying to impose their religious beliefs on others. 	
all and living by His moral rtedly" Surah 22:08 Iost Gracious are those who urah 25:63	
it, not and ex rul met rying t all and rtedly' 10st Gi	

• Peace means more than just absence of war, but also the absence of corruption, tyranny, oppression, and injustice.

There are teachings in the Qur'an and the Christian Old Testament which suggest that violence can be appropriate sometimes.

certain circumstances where their religion needs to

a priority, there are some who believe however that there are

be defended.

YEAR 9 RELIGION AND WAR KNOWLEDGE ORGANSIER

Weapons of mass destruction:

Arguments for:

Having WMDs acts as a deterrent. Countries are much less likely to attack if WMDs are present

Having WMDs actually keeps the peace. The use of a WMD on Hiroshima and Nagasaki brought the war to an end. More people would have died if the war had continued.

The use of a WMD at the end of the Second World War gave an important message. No nuclear weapons have been used since. This is a good thing.

WMD manufacture provides jobs and wealth to a country eg many people in Scotland are employed by the UK's nuclear deterrent system called Trident.

Arguments against:

There can never be an acceptable reason to have or potentially use WMDs. They kill huge numbers of innocent people

They are controlled from a distance or dropped from planes or drones. The people who use them never fully understand the damage they cause. Too easy to walk away from this kind of destruction.

WMD production and maintenance is expensive. Money would be better spent on education or healthcare.

WMDs create inequality. Only the rich and powerful can afford them.

WMDs can easily fall into the wrong hands or be used by poor leaders eg the threat posed by the unpredictable leadership in North Korea.

Biological and chemical weapons are frequently used by dictators to keep people living in fear and preserve power eg chemical attacks by the government on Syrian people who want to change the government.